

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,982 01/21/2004		Michel Tabart	ST01021US CNT	4039	
5487 75	90 04/08/2005		EXAMINER		
ROSS J. OEHLER AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC. ROUTE 202-206 MAIL CODE: D303A			STOCKTON, LAURA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
BRIDGEWATI	ER, NJ 08807		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/761,98	2	TABART ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			ockton, Ph.D.	1626				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faili Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu iod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 January 2005	j.					
2a)□	· · ·	his action is no	•					
3)	<b>—</b> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	ion						
- / -	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 35 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
-	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• ,	•	` '				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			• •				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority und	er 35 II.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	igi. piloniy unu	or oo o.c.o. 3 1 10(a)	(4) 51 (1).				
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have beer	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr							
	application from the International Bure	-		0				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certifi	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	• •		4) [] Inter-1	(DTO 440)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	4)					
3) 🔯 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	/	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/19/04</u> .		6)		•			

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are pending in the application.

### Election/Restriction

Applicants' election with traverse of Group IV (claims 1-22 and 35) in the reply filed on December 17, 2004 and the species of Example 2-1 (page 19) in the reply filed on January 18, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the twelve way restriction is improper because: (1) no serious burden would be placed on the Examiner or the Office's resources to search all of the claims as they are in the same and/or similar classification; (2) there was no lack of unity imposed on the corresponding PCT Application No. PCT/FR02/02638; (3) the process of using claims should be rejoined if the product claims are found allowable; and (4) the restriction imposes undue expenses on Applicants.

Art Unit: 1626

All of Applicants' arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Separate search considerations, not only class and subclass searches, are involved for each of the groups outlined in the Restriction Requirement dated November 18, 2004.

Having various groups classified in the same class does not reduce the burden on the Examiner when a multitude of subclasses within a class would have to be searched. Therefore, it would impose an undue burden on the Examiner and the Patent Office's resources if unrestricted.

In regard to the argument concerning the absence of a lack of unity being made in the International phase, the national Examiner is not bound by the decisions made by the Examiner in the International phase. The instant application is a CON of PCT/FR02/02638 and not a 371. Therefore, restriction practice under 35 USC \$121 is applicable. See MPEP 1895.01, section D. Section 121 provides the Director of Patents and

Application/Control Number: 10/761,982 Art Unit: 1626

Trademarks with the authority to restrict applications to several claimed inventions when those inventions are found to be independent and distinct. In the instant application, the Examiner has determined that several independent and distinct inventions are claimed in the application.

Further, in accordance with M.P.E.P. §821.04 and <u>In</u> <u>re Ochiai</u>, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until, such time, a restriction between product claims and process claims is deemed proper.

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy,

Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to maintain either dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure

Art Unit: 1626

to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Subject matter not embraced by elected Group IV and Claims 23-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the replies filed on December 17, 2004 and January 18, 2005.

## Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Art Unit: 1626

### Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed February 19, 2004 has been considered by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 and 35 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, under the definition of 5 or 6 membered aromatic heterocyclic in Ar, an "and" is needed after "NH( $C_1$ - $C_4$ )alkyl" (page 166, line 19) to conform to proper Markush language.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 5 lacks antecedent basis because of the definition of  $Ra_1$ .

Claim 14 does not conform to M.P.E.P. 608.01(m) since each claim must end with a period.

Claim 15 lacks antecedent basis for the broad definition of Ar.

Claim 18 lacks antecedent basis from claim 14.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The elected species of Example 2-1 on page 19 is allowable over the art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

April 4, 2005